Activation of Marginally Reactive Boron Enolates by MeLi for the Formation of Enol Phosphates and Synthesis of the Δ^9 -THC Intermediate

Hiroki Kawada, Atsushi Ikoma, Narihito Ogawa, and Yuichi Kobayashi*

Department of Bioengineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Box B-52, Nagatsuta-cho [42](#page-6-0)59, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8501, Japan

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-6-0)STRACT: [The addition](#page-6-0) of MeLi to boron enolates produced by the 1,4-addition of $Ar_2Cu(CN)Li_2$ to $BF_3·OEt_2$ activated enones was followed by the reaction with $ClP(O)$ - (OEt) ₂ to afford the corresponding enol phosphates in moderate to good yields. The scope of this method was examined with sterically hindered or electronically biased enones and/or reagents. This activation of boron enolates was successfully applied to the synthesis of the methyl ether of Δ^9 tetrahydrocannabinol.

■ INTRODUCTION

The primary advantages of the 1,4-addition of organometallic reagents to enones are the formation of a new C−C bond at the $β$ -position of the enone and the subsequent reaction with electrophiles (enolate trapping). 1,4-Addition−enolate trapping, especially using copper reagents, has been utilized extensively in organic synthesis.^{1,2} Furthermore, recent studies have shown enantioselective 1,4-addition with several types of organometallics,³ using catalyst[s s](#page-6-0)uch as copper,⁴ rhodium,^{5,6} palladium, $\frac{7}{7}$ and nickel $\frac{8}{7}$ catalysts, etc. Among them, alkylation and aldol reacti[o](#page-6-0)ns, as well as the formation of [T](#page-6-0)MS ethe[rs,](#page-6-0) have bee[n](#page-7-0) demonstr[at](#page-7-0)ed. However, studies using sterically demanding reagents have only been reported in a few cases. Thus, 1,4-addition has been studied with reagents possessing sec -alkyls^{4a,b,j,v} such as *i*-Pr, or substituted aryl group $s^{4i,k,5,6c,i,k,7b,c}$ such as 2-Me C_6H_4 , 2-MeO C_6H_4 , and 2,4,6- $Me₃C₆H₂$, whereas the enolate trapping has only been shown [with eno](#page-6-0)[late](#page-7-0)s derived from less sterically hindered reagent $s^{4a,b,6i}$ except for one report with *i*-Pr.^{4v} The activation of enones by adding a Lewis acid such as $\mathrm{BF_3}\text{\cdot} \mathrm{OEt_2}^9$ or TMSCl^{10} i[s an e](#page-6-0)ffective method for achieving 1,4-a[dd](#page-6-0)ition with sterically demanding organocopper reagents and/or en[on](#page-7-0)es (Sche[me](#page-7-0) 1). However, the addition of BF_3 ·OEt₂ yields boron enolates 3^{11} with low reactivity toward electrophiles $(E⁺)$, which is probably due to the strong stabilization of the enolate caused [by](#page-7-0) the electron-withdrawing fluorine atoms attached to the boron atom. In accordance with this fact, trialkylboron enolates prepared by adding $BEt₃$ to lithium or potassium enolates have shown to undergo Pd-catalyzed or Pd-free alkylation with allylic halides. 12 Similarly, dialkylboron enolates are activated with BuLi for alkylation with a simple allyl bromide.^{6d} The use of TMS[Cl](#page-7-0) yields neutral enol ethers, which can be converted to enolates upon reaction with MeLi. Furyl and th[ien](#page-6-0)yl anions are marginally reactive toward 1,4-

Received: July 15, 2015

Published: September 1, 2015

addition due to electronic factors, and thus the $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ activation of enones is an effective method.¹³ Recently, a rhodium-catalyzed version has been developed.^{6l,14} However, reaction of the corresponding enolates with E^+ E^+ has been not reported except for hydrolysis.

Scheme 1. A Problem Associated with the BF_3 ·OEt₂-Assisted 1,4-Addition of Enones with Copper Reagents

To circumvent the problem associated with the boron enolates 3, an indirect method through α -iodo enones has been developed.¹⁵ However, this indirect method requires additional steps for the synthesis of α -iodo enones and the generation of [rea](#page-7-0)ctive enolates from the α -iodo ketone intermediates; hence direct activation of the boron enolates generated by BF_3 ·OEt₂-assisted 1,4-addition is certainly advantageous for organic synthesis. Herein, we present the results of our work on this challenging issue.

© 2015 American Chemical Society ⁹¹⁹² DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01630

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We prepared $Ph_2Cu(CN)Li_2$ (2a) according to Method A in Scheme 2, and 1.5 equiv and 1.1 equiv of 2a were added to

the BF₃·OEt₂-activated cyclohexenone (1A) at -78 °C for 1 h in THF. Without isolation the resulting boron enolate 3a was subjected to phosphorylation with $CIP(O)(OE)$ ₂ (5 equiv) at room temperature for 3 h to yield mixtures of enol phosphate 5a and ketone 6a in 62:38 and 26:74 ratios for 1.5 equiv and 1.1 equiv of 2a, respectively (Table 1, entries 1 and 2).

Although the above experiments clearly indicated decreased reactivity of the boron enolate 3a toward $CIP(O)(OEt)_{2}^{16}$ we

Table 1. Examination of R−M for Activation of the [Bor](#page-7-0)on Enolate a,b

	1) BF ₃ ·Et ₂ O $2)$ 2a,b $-70 °C$	$O-BF_3$ $3) R-M$ Ar rt. 3 h	0 °C, 30 min 4) CIP(O)(OEt) ₂	$OP(O)(OE)_{2}$ Αr	٨r
1Α		3a,b		5a,b	6a,b
entry	Ar	$R-M$ (equiv)	solvent	5:6	yield of 5 ^c
1	Ph		THF	62:38	nd
2^d	Ph		THF	26:74	nd
3	Ph	PhLi (6)	THF	100:0	72%
$\overline{4}$	Ph	MeLi (6)	THF	100:0	76%
5	Ph	MeLi (4)	THF	$100:0^e$	54%
6	Ph	MeLi (6)	Et ₂ O	$(66:34)^{e,f}$	nd
7	2 -MeOC ₆ H ₄		THF	18:82	nd
8	$2-MeOC6H4$	Meli(6)	THF	100:0	75%
9	$2-MeOC6H4$	Meli (7)	Et ₂ O	nd^g	46%
10	$2-MeOC6H4$	MeMgCl(6)	THF	100:0	35%
11	$2-MeOC6H4$	Et ₂ Zn(6)	THF	100:0	53%
12	$2-MeOC6H4$	MeZnCl(9)	THF	72:28	nd
13	2 -MeOC ₆ H ₄	Et ₃ Al(6)	THF	33:67	nd

 a Ar in 2, 5, and 6: a, Ph; b, 2-MeOC₆H₄. b Unless otherwise noted, a mixture of 1A (1.0 equiv) and BF_3 ·OEt₂ (2 equiv) was added to 2a or 2b (1.5 equiv) in THF or Et₂O at -70 °C and stirred for 1 h. The boron enolate was reacted with R−M (4−9 equiv) at 0 °C for 30 min and then with $CIP(O)(OEt)$, (5 equiv) at rt. ^cnd: Not determined. and then with $CIP(O)(OEt)_2$ (5 equiv) at rt. ^cnd: Not determined.
^d2a (1.1 equiv) was used. ^eAn unidentified byproduct (entry 5) and another byproduct (entry 6), respectively, were detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. f Ratio of a /byproduct. ^gA byproduct(s) similar to that of entry 5 was produced.

focused on the different ratios of 5a and 6a observed with 1.5 and 1.1 equiv of 2a and deduced the following: (1) conversion of the B–F bond(s) to the B–Ph bond(s) with the remaining $2a$ and/or PhLi·BF₃ being regenerated from $2a$ and BF_3 ·OEt₂, similar to a previous report, and (2) the increased reactivity of the enolate derivative with Ph group (s) bound to the boron atom.<[su](#page-7-0)p>17</sup> Based on these suppositions, we postulated that the reactivity of 3a would be increased upon adding an organometallic [rea](#page-7-0)gent (R−M) to 3a. Indeed, the addition of PhLi (6 equiv) followed by reaction with $CIP(O)(OEt)$ ₂ resulted in a complete reaction to afford enol phosphate 5a in 72% isolated yield (entry 3). We then switched our attention to the use of MeLi, because the mass of the reagent residue(s) produced using excess MeLi after quenching would be less than that with PhLi. In practice, 6 equiv of MeLi produced 5a, which was easily purified by chromatography in 76% yield (entry 4), whereas the use of 4 equiv of MeLi produced a mixture of 4a (54% isolated yield) and an unidentified byproduct (entry 5). This indicated that the use of 6 equiv of MeLi was optimal for successful activation. In contrast to THF, an attempted reaction in $Et₂O$, which has been used successfully as the solvent for the 1,4 additions, produced a mixture of products (entry 6). Instead of using $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$, a reaction with BEt_3 gave a mixture of 5a and unidentified product(s) (data not shown).

Next, a moderately sterically hindered reagent, (2- MeOC6H4)2Cu(CN)Li2 (2b), was synthesized via Br−Li exchange with t-BuLi (Method B, Scheme 2), and 1,4 addition to the $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ -activated enone 1A followed by reaction with $CIP(O)(OEt)_{2}$ under the same reaction conditions was examined, affording a mixture of enol phosphate 5b and ketone 6b in a ratio of 18:82 (entry 7). This result clearly indicated that steric hindrance lowered the reactivity of boron enolate 3b compared to 3a. This observation is a rare case showing the influence of an Ar group on the reactivity of enolates. In contrast, the reaction with $\text{CIP}(\text{O})(\text{OEt})_2$ after the activation of 3b by adding MeLi proceeded to completion and produced enol phosphate 5b in 75% yield (entry 8). The reaction was also examined in $Et₂O$, which gave a mixture of enol phosphate $5b$ and byproduct(s) (entry 9) as in the case of 2a. Other organometallics (R−M) attempted in entries 10−13 were less effective than MeLi.

The method used for the formation of enol phosphates 5a and 5b was applied to several substrates and copper reagents to establish the generality of the method (Scheme 3). The

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Enol Phosphates^{a,b}

results are shown in Figures 1 and 2 along with the yields. The 2-MOMOC₆H₄ reagent 2c afforded enol phosphate 5c in

Using 2 prepared via the direct or ortho lithiation (Method C)

Figure 1. Synthesis of enol phosphates from enone 1A and reagents 2c−l.

Figure 2. More examples of synthesized enol phosphates.

71% yield, which is similar to the yield of 2b. The 2-Me- and $4\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ reagents 2d and 2e gave 5d and 5e in good yields. These results indicate almost no steric influence by substitution at the ortho position. 4-Fluoro- and $4-CF_3C_6H_4$ reagents 2f and 2g also furnished 5f and 5g, respectively. The more sterically demanding reagent 2h (Ar = 2,6- $(MeO)₂C₆H₃$ prepared by Method C afforded 5h in good yield. As the BF_3 ·OEt₂ activation of enone 1A is inevitably necessary for the 1,4-addition of 2h (our observation), this result is a good example for demonstrating the advantage of the present method for synthesizing enol phosphates with a sterically demanding Ar group. Phosphates 5i (Ar = 2,4,6- $(MeO)_{3}C_{6}H_{2}$ and 5j $(Ar = 2.6-(MeO)_{2}-4MeC_{6}H_{2})$ were produced from bulky reagents 2i and 2j, respectively, in good yields. The syntheses of enol phosphates 5k and 5l are

another set of reactions demonstrating the efficiency of the present method. Previously, the 1,4-addition of furyl copper reagents to enones was reported to proceed only with BF_3 . $\widetilde{\text{OEt}}_{2}$.^{13,18} The Rh-catalyzed 1,4-addition of furyl and thienyl reagents described in the literature gives the corresponding keto[nes, b](#page-7-0)ut no further reaction of the intermediary enolates is reported. $6l,14$

Further demonstration of the present method is delineated in Figure [2,](#page-6-0) [w](#page-7-0)herein enones in Scheme 3, i.e. substituted cyclohexenones 1B−D, cyclopentenone (1E), and acyclic enone 1F were subjected to reacti[on with re](#page-1-0)agents $2b$ (Ar = 2-MeOC₆H₄) to afford enol phosphates 5m−5q, whereas an attempted 1,4-addition of enone 1D with 2b did not proceed without the activation of the enone by $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$. Furthermore, the reaction of enone 1G with $2m$ (Ar = 2,6- $(MOMO)₂$ -4-C₅H₁₁-C₆H₂) afforded 5r in 60% yield. Previously, 5r was synthesized in 35% yield in three steps from $1G$ through α -iodo enone $1H$.^{15b}

The method was successfully applied to the synthesis of enol phosphate 5s in 67% yield fro[m e](#page-7-0)none 1G and cuprate 2n (Scheme 4). Furthermore, 5s was subjected to a Ni-

Scheme 4. Synthesis of $\Delta^9\text{-THC}$

catalyzed coupling reaction with MeMgCl to afford 1-methyl-1-cyclohexene derivative 7 in 74% yield. Exposure of 7 to EtSNa at 140 °C followed by reaction with $\text{ZnBr}_2/\text{MgSO}_4$ gave methyl ether 9, which was transformed¹⁹ to Δ^9 -THC $(10).$ ^{15a,b,20} The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 9 were consistent with those reported previously.^{15[b](#page-7-0)} The relative trans [stereo](#page-7-0)chemistry on the cyclohexene ring of 9 was confirmed by the hydrogen at C-10a appeari[ng](#page-7-0) at δ 3.17 ppm as a doublet $(J = 11.4 \text{ Hz})$. The *J* value indicated pseudoaxial hydrogen, while the chemical shift is close to the characteristic signal of Δ^9 -THC (10) (3.14 ppm) and distant from that of the cis isomer of 10 (3.59 ppm).²¹ The trans stereochemisty is consistent with the steric approach control of cuprates to 4 substituted cyclohexenones in th[e 1](#page-7-0),4-addition.

Recently, the demand for a method to synthesize $\Delta^9\text{-}\text{THC}$ analogues has increased not only for developing a ligand with high affinity to the Δ^9 -THC receptor subtype but also as the standard for analyzing the Δ^9 -THC family in plants.²² On the basis of the results shown in Figures 2 and 3 and Scheme 3, Δ^9 -THC analogues such as those delineated in [F](#page-7-0)igure 3 would be synthesized in shor[t steps.](#page-2-0) 23

Figure 3. $\Delta^9\text{-}\text{THC}$ analogues to be synthesized.

Finally, a coupling reaction of enol phosphate 5n with $PhB(OH)$ ₂ was examined. Among the two possible reaction sites, the C−Br bond selectively participated in the Pdcatalyzed reaction under Buchwald conditions 24 to afford compound 11 in 88% yield (eq 1).

■ CONCLUSION

The addition of BF_3 ·OEt₂ to enones is a convenient method for their activation in 1,4-addition reactions with bulky aryl copper reagents, although the derived boron enolates cannot be used for further reactions. To resolve this inconvenience, we developed a new method to activate unreacted boron enolates for phosphorylation by simply adding MeLi to the boron enolates before the phosphorylation with $ClP(O)$ - $(OEt)_{2}$. The generality of this one-pot method, consisting of 1,4-addition to BF_3 ·OEt₂-activated enones, addition of MeLi to the boron enolates, and phosphorylation, was proven by the products shown in Figures 1 and 2. Furthermore, the method was applied to furyl and thienyl copper reagents, which were unreactive t[oward 1,4-](#page-2-0)additi[on](#page-2-0) to enones in the absence of BF_3 ·OEt₂. To demonstrate the applicability of the method, the methyl ether of Δ^9 -THC was successfully synthesized.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Remarks. The 1 H (300 or 400 MHz) and 13 C NMR (75 or 100 MHz) spectroscopic data were recorded in $CDCI₃$ using Me₄Si (δ = 0 ppm) and the centerline of the triplet (δ = 77.1 ppm), respectively, as internal standards. Signal patterns are indicated as br s (broad singlet), s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), and m (multiplet). Coupling constants (J) are given in hertz (Hz). Chemical shifts of carbons are accompanied by negative (for C and $CH₂$) and positive (for CH and CH₃) signs of the attached proton test (APT) experiments. High-resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS) was performed with a double-focusing mass spectrometer. The solvents that were distilled prior to use are THF (from Na/ benzophenone), Et₂O (from Na/benzophenone), and CH_2Cl_2 (from $CaH₂$). After extraction of the products, the extracts were concentrated by using an evaporator, and then the residues were purified by chromatography on silica gel (Kanto, spherical silica gel 60N).

General Procedures for the Synthesis of Enol Phosphates (5a−s). (1). Using Copper Reagent 2a prepared by Method A. Synthesis of Diethyl 3-Phenyl-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5a) from Enone 1A and Ph₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2a). To an ice-cold mixture of CuCN (53.7 mg, 0.60 mmol) and THF (3 mL) was added PhLi (1.09 M in cyclohexane–Et₂O, 1.10 mL, 1.20 mmol) dropwise. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min and cooled to −70 °C. A solution of enone 1A (38.5 mg, 0.40 mmol) and BF_3 ·Et₂O (0.100) mL, 0.796 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added to the mixture over 5 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at −70 °C for 1 h, and MeLi $(1.08 \text{ M} \text{ in } \text{Et}_2\text{O}, 2.20 \text{ mL}, 2.38 \text{ mmol})$ was added. After 30 min of stirring at 0 °C, ClP(O)(OEt)₂ (0.290 mL, 2.02 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and poured into saturated NH₄Cl. The resulting mixture was extracted with $Et₂O$ twice. The organic layers were washed with $NAHCO₃$ and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc) to afford 5a (94.9 mg, 76%): $R_f = 0.34$ (hexane/EtOAc 2:1); IR (neat) 1681, 1272, 1041 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.37 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.32−2.03 (m, 4 H), 2.18−2.42 (m, 2 H), 3.48−3.58 (m, 1 H), ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 21.0 $(-), 27.7 (-) (d, J = 4 Hz), 31.9 (-), 40.9 (+), 64.2 (-) (d, J = 6$ Hz), 114.0 (+) (d, $J = 5$ Hz), 126.3 (+), 127.7 (+), 128.4 (+), 145.6 (−), 149.0 (−) (d, J = 8 Hz); HRMS (FAB) m/z : [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₆H₂₄O₄P 311.1412; Found 311.1415.

(2). Using Copper Reagents 2b–g prepared by Method B. Synthesis of Diethyl 3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5b) from Enone 1A and (2-MeOC₆H₄)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2b). To a solution of 2-bromoanisol (0.150 mL, 1.22 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added t-BuLi (1.77 M in n-pentane, 1.36 mL, 2.40 mmol) dropwise at −70 °C. The solution was stirred at −70 °C for 10 min and at 0 °C for 20 min before addition of CuCN (55.2 mg, 0.616 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min and cooled to -70 °C. A solution of enone 1A (38.9 mg, 0.405 mmol) and BF₃· Et₂O (0.100 mL, 0.796 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added to the mixture over 5 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at −70 °C for 1 h, and MeLi $(1.13 \text{ M} \text{ in } \text{Et}_2\text{O}, 2.10 \text{ mL}, 2.37 \text{ mmol})$ was added. After 30 min of stirring at 0 °C, $CIP(O)(OEt)$ ₂ (0.290 mL, 2.02 mmol) was added. After 1 h of stirring at room temperature, the solution was poured into saturated NH4Cl. The resulting mixture was extracted with Et_2O twice. The organic layers were washed with NaHCO₃ and brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc) to afford 5b (103.0 mg, 75%): $R_f = 0.10$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1682, 1272, 1030, 755 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.36 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.40−1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.63−1.81 (m, 2 H), 1.89−1.99 (m, 1 H), 2.21−2.38 (m, 2 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 3.93−4.02 (m, 1 H), 4.12−4.24 (m, 4 H), 5.46−5.52 (m, 1 H), 6.85 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.91 (dt, $J = 1.2$, 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.19 (dt, $J = 1.6$, 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.1 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 20.8 (−), 27.8 (−) (d, J = 4 Hz), 29.1 (−), 33.5 (+), 55.3 (+) (d, $J = 4$ Hz), 64.1 (−) (d, $J = 5$ Hz), 110.2 (+), 114.0 (+) (d, J = 5 Hz), 120.3 (+), 127.2 (+), 128.5 (+), 133.4 (−) (d, 2 Hz), 149.0 (-) (d, J = 9 Hz), 156.7 (-); HRMS (FAB) m/z : $[M + H]^{+}$ Calcd for $C_{17}H_{26}O_5P$ 341.1518; Found 341.1524.

(3). Using Copper Reagents 2h−n prepared by Method C. Synthesis of Diethyl 3-((2,6-Dimethoxy)phenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5h) from Enone 1A and $(2,6-(MeO))C₆H₃)C_u(CN)Li₂$ (2h). To an ice-cold solution of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene (0.17 mL, 1.30 mmol) in THF (3 mL) was added n-BuLi (1.60 M in hexane, 0.75 mL, 1.20 mmol) dropwise. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, and CuCN (54.0 mg, 0.603 mmol) was added to the solution. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min and cooled to −70 °C. A solution of enone 1A (37.8 mg, 0.393 mmol) and $BF_3·Et_2O$ (0.100 mL, 0.796 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added to the mixture over 5 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at −70 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, and then MeLi (1.13 M in Et₂O, 2.10 mL, 2.37 mmol) was added to the solution. After 30 min of stirring at 0 °C, $CIP(O)(OEt)$ ₂ (0.290 mL, 2.02 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and poured into saturated $NH₄Cl$. The resulting mixture was extracted with Et₂O twice. The organic layers were washed with $NAHCO₃$ and brine, dried over MgSO4, and concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc) to afford enol phosphate 5h (111.3 mg, 76%): $R_f = 0.15$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1683, 1270, 1034 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.64−1.87 (m, 3 H), 1.87−1.99 (m, 1 H), 2.14−2.27 (m, 1 H), 2.32−2.48 (m, 1 H), 3.78 (s, 6 H), 4.08−4.21 (m, 5 H), 5.40 (br s, 1 H), 6.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.14 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H); 13 C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 23.3 $(-), 26.8 (-), 27.5 (-) (d, J = 4 Hz), 31.2 (+), 55.8 (+), 63.9 (-)$ $(d, J = 6 \text{ Hz})$, 104.2 (+), 116.3 (+) $(d, J = 6 \text{ Hz})$, 121.0 (-), 127.3 (+), 145.6 (-) (d, J = 9 Hz), 158.7 (-); HRMS (FAB) m/z : [M + $[H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{18}H_{28}O_6P$ 371.1624; Found 371.1626.

Data of Enol Phosphates. Diethyl 3-((2-Methoxymethoxy)phenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5c) from Enone 1A and (2- $MOMOC_6H_4$ ₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2c) Prepared by Method B. Yield 71%; R_f = 0.18 (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1681, 1600, 1585, 1032 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCL): δ 1.37 (t I - 7.2 Hz, 6 H) 1.40–1.53 ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.37 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.40–1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.64−1.85 (m, 2 H), 1.91−2.02 (m, 1 H), 2.26−2.35 (m, 2 H), 3.49 (s, 3 H), 3.95−4.04 (m, 1 H), 4.13−4.25 (m, 4 H), 5.22 (s, 2 H), 5.48−5.52 (m, 1 H), 6.96 (dt, J = 7.5, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.07 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.13−7.24 (m, 2 H); 13C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 20.8 (-), 27.8 (-) (d, J = 4 Hz), 29.4 (−), 33.7 (+), 56.1 (+), 64.2 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 94.4 (−), 113.7 (+), 113.9 (+) (d, J = 5 Hz), 121.6 (+), 127.3 (+), 128.7 (+), 134.0 (−) (d, J = 1 Hz), 149.1 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz), 154.4 (−); HRMS (FAB) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{18}H_{28}O_6P$ 371.1624; Found 371.1634.

Diethyl 3-(2-Methylphenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-y Phosphate (5d) from Enone 1A and (2-MeC₆H₄)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2d) Prepared by Method B. Yield 71%; $R_f = 0.26$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1681, 1367, 1273, 1139 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.37 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{ H}), 1.33-2.01 \text{ (m, 4 H)}, 2.22-2.43 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, 2.35$ (s, 3 H), 3.70−3.80 (m, 1 H), 4.13−4.25 (m, 4 H), 5.48−5.52 (m, 1 H), 7.07–7.24 (m, 4 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, $J = 7$ Hz), 19.2 (+), 21.0 (-), 27.7 (-) (d, $J = 4$ Hz), 29.7 (−), 36.9 (+), 64.2 (−) (d, J = 5 Hz), 114.3 (+) (d, J = 5 Hz), 126.1 (+), 126.2 (+), 127.7 (+), 130.4 (+), 135.3 (−), 143.4 (−), 149.0 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz); HRMS (FAB) m/z : [M + H]⁺ Calcd for $C_{17}H_{26}O_4P$ 325.1569; Found 325.1572.

Diethyl 3-(4-Methylphenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5e) from Enone 1A and $(4-MeC_6H_4)_2Cu(CN)LI_2$ (2e) Prepared by *Method B.* Yield 73%; $R_f = 0.24$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1680, 1513, 1270, 1040 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.36 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{ H}), 1.19-2.02 \text{ (m, 4 H)}, 2.20-2.41 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, 2.32$ (s, 3 H), 3.44−3.55 (m, 1 H), 4.10−4.27 (m, 4 H), 5.51−5.56 (m, 1 H), 7.11 (s, 4 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.1 (+) $(d, J = 7 \text{ Hz})$, 20.9 (−), 27.6 (−) $(d, J = 4 \text{ Hz})$, 31.8 (−), 40.4 (+), 64.1 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 114.1 (+) (d, J = 5 Hz), 127.5 (+), 129.0 (+), 135.7 (−), 142.5 (−), 148.8 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz); HRMS (FAB) m/z : $[M + H]$ ⁺ Calcd for C₁₇H₂₆O₄P 325.1569; Found 325.1565.

Diethyl 3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5f) from Enone 1A and $(4\text{-FC}_6H_4)_2$ Cu(CN)Li₂ (2f) Prepared by Method B. Yield 80%; $R_f = 0.17$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1681, 1604, 1508, 1033 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.36 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.40−1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.62−2.01 (m, 3 H), 2.20−2.42 (m, 2 H), 3.47−3.58 (m, 1 H), 4.12−4.24 (m, 4 H), 5.50−5.54 (m, 1

H), 6.94−7.02 (m, 2 H), 7.14−7.22 (m, 2 H); 13C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 20.9 (-), 27.6 (-) (d, J = 4 Hz), 31.9 (−), 40.2 (+), 64.2 (−) (d, $J = 6$ Hz), 113.8 (+) (d, $J =$ 5 Hz), 115.1 (+) $(d, J = 21$ Hz), 129.1 (+) $(d, J = 8$ Hz), 141.3 (-) (d, J = 4 Hz), 149.2 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz), 161.5 (−) (d, J = 242 Hz); HRMS (FAB) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{16}H_{23}FO_4P$ 329.1318; Found 329.1317.

Diethyl 3-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5g) from Enone 1A and $(4-CF_3C_6H_4)_2Cu(CN)L_1$ (2g) Prepared by Method B. Yield 81%; $R_f = 0.15$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1680, 1619, 1327, 1275 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.37 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 6 H), 1.40−1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.64−2.06 (m, 3 H), 2.27−2.37 (m, 2 H), 3.55−3.65 (m, 1 H), 4.13−4.25 (m, 4 H), 5.51−5.55 (m, 1 H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.1 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 20.9 (−), 27.6 (−) (d, J = 4 Hz), 31.7 (−), 40.8 (+), 64.3 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 112.9 (+) (d, J = 5 Hz), 124.3 (−) (q, J = 270 Hz), 125.4 (+) (q, $J = 4$ Hz), 128.1 (+), 128.7 (-) (q, $J = 32$ Hz), 149.6 (−), 149.7 (−); HRMS (FAB) m/z: [M + H]+ Calcd for $C_{17}H_{23}F_{3}O_{4}P$ 379.1286; Found 379.1285.

Diethyl 3-((2,4,6-Trimethoxy)phenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5i) from Enone 1A and $(2,4,6-(MeO)_3C_6H_2)_2Cu(CN)Li_2$ (2i) Prepared by Method C. Yield 73%; $R_f = 0.15$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1683, 1606, 1037, 813 cm[−]¹ ; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.56–1.85 (m, 3 H), 1.88–1.97 (m, 1 H), 2.15−2.24 (m, 1 H), 2.30−2.44 (m, 1 H), 3.76 (s, 6 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H), 3.96−4.06 (m, 1 H), 4.08−4.20 (m, 4 H), 5.34−5.39 (m, 1 H), 6.11 (s, 2 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 23.4 (-), 27.2 (-), 27.5 (-) (d, J = 3 Hz), 31.0 (+), 55.3 (+) (m), 55.8 (+) (m), 63.9 (-) (d, $J = 6$ Hz), 91.1 $(+)$ (m), 113.7 (−), 116.7 (+) (m), 145.6 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz), 159.3 (-), 159.6 (-); HRMS (FAB) m/z : [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₉H₃₀O₇P 401.1729; Found 401.1724.

Diethyl 3-((2,6-Dimethoxy)-3-methylphenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5j) from Enone 1A and $(2,6-(MeO))$ ^{-4-MeC₆H₂)₂Cu-} (CN)Li₂ (2j) Prepared by Method C. Yield 77%; $R_f = 0.19$ (hexane/ EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1683, 1608, 1582, 1044 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.62–1.98 (m, 4 H), 2.14−2.47 (m, 2 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 3.76 (s, 6 H), 4.01−4.21 (m, 5 H), 5.36−5.40 (m, 1 H), 6.35 (s, 2 H); 13C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 21.9 (+), 23.3 (-), 27.0 (-), 27.5 $(-)$ (d, J = 3 Hz), 31.0 (+), 55.7 (+), 63.9 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 105.1 (+), 116.5 (+) (d, J = 6 Hz), 117.9 (-), 137.4 (-), 145.5 (-) (d, J = 9 Hz), 158.5 (−); HRMS (FAB) m/z: [M + H]+ Calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}O_6P$ 385.1780; Found 385.1787.

Diethyl 3-(Furan-2-yl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5k) from Enone 1A and (Furan-2-yl)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2k) Prepared by Method C. Yield 76%; $R_f = 0.16$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 3114, 1684, 1267, 1032 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.36 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6 H), 1.56−1.98 (m, 4 H), 2.20−2.32 (m, 2 H), 3.56−3.66 (m, 1 H), 4.11−4.24 (m, 4 H), 5.57−5.63 (m, 1 H), 6.02 (dt, J = 3.3, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.28 (dd, J = 3.3, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.30–7.33 (m, 1 H); ¹³C– APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 20.3 (-), 27.3 (−), 27.7 (−) (d, J = 4 Hz), 34.2 (+), 64.3 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 105.2 (+), 110.1 (+), 111.1 (+) (d, $J = 5$ Hz), 141.2 (+), 149.4 (-) (d, J = 9 Hz), 157.8 (−); HRMS (FAB) m/z : [M + H]⁺ Calcd for $C_{14}H_{22}O_5P$ 301.1205; Found 301.1213.

Diethyl 3-(Thiophen-2-yl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5l) from Enone 1A and (Thiophen-2-yl)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2l) Prepared by Method C. Yield 59%; $R_f = 0.21$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 3070, 1679, 1273 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.36 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.58−1.92 (m, 3 H), 1.95−2.08 (m, 1 H), 2.24−2.33 (m, 2 H), 3.79−3.89 (m, 1 H), 4.12−4.24 (m, 4 H), 5.62−5.67 (m, 1 H), 6.84 $(dt, J = 3.3, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.93 (dd, J = 5.1, 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (dd, J)$ = 5.1, 0.9 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) $(d, J = 7 \text{ Hz})$, 20.4 (−), 27.6 (−) $(d, J = 4 \text{ Hz})$, 31.7 (−), 35.9 (+), 64.3 (−) (m), 113.5 (+) (d, J = 5 Hz), 123.3 (+), 123.9 (+), 126.7 (+), 149.1 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz), 149.4 (−) (d, J = 1 Hz); HRMS (FAB) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{14}H_{22}O_4PS$ 317.0976; Found 317.0983.

Diethyl 3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-methyl-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5m) from Enone 1B and (2-MeOC₆H₄)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2b) Prepared by Method B. Yield 69%; $R_f = 0.15$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1697, 1489, 1031, 757 cm[−]¹ ; ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.38 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6 H), 1.52–1.67 (m, 3 H), 1.56 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.72−1.90 (m, 1 H), 2.34−2.44 (m, 2 H), 3.80−3.86 (m, 1 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 4.15−4.26 (m, 4 H), 6.84−6.94 (m, 2 H), 7.09 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.19 (dt, J = 7.5, 1.8 Hz, 1 H); 13C− APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 15.5 (+), 16.3 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 19.3 (−), 28.1 (−), 29.1 (−), 38.9 (+), 55.3 (+), 63.9−64.2 (−) (m), 110.3 (+), 120.1 (−) (d, $J = 8$ Hz), 120.2 (+), 127.2 (+), 129.3 (+), 132.0 (−) (d, J = 2 Hz), 144.3 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz), 157.2 (−); HRMS (FAB) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{18}H_{28}O_5P$ 355.1674; Found 355.1673.

2-Bromo-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Diethyl Phosphate (5n) from Enone 1C and (2-MeOC₆H₄)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2b) Prepared by Method B. Yield 69%; $R_f = 0.12$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); amorphous; IR (nujol) 1675, 1596, 1459, 1022, 759 cm^{−1}; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.40 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6 H), 1.58–1.83 (m, 3 H), 1.93−2.07 (m, 1 H), 2.44−2.65 (m, 2 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 4.22− 4.34 (m, 4 H), 6.88 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.94 (dt, $J = 7.5$, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (dd, $J = 7.5$, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.24 (dt, $J = 8.1$, 1.5 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 6 Hz), 18.6 (−), 29.5 (−), 30.2 (−), 42.6 (+), 55.4 (+), 64.7 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 110.1 (-) (d, $J = 10$ Hz), 110.4 (+), 120.3 (+), 127.8 (+), 129.2 (+), 130.4 (−) (d, J = 2 Hz), 146.9 (−) (d, J = 7 Hz), 156.9 (-); HRMS (FAB) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for C₁₇H₂₅BrO₅P 419.0623; Found 419.0617.

Diethyl 3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (5o) from Enone 1D and (2-MeOC₆H₄)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2b) Prepared by Method B. Yield 54%; $R_f = 0.15$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1682, 1272, 1031, 755 cm[−]¹ ; ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.37 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.46–1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.49 (s, 3 H), 1.52−1.71 (m, 2 H), 2.10−2.30 (m, 2 H), 2.35−2.45 (m, 1 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 4.14−4.26 (m, 4 H), 5.64 (br s, 1 H), 6.84−6.91 (m, 2 H), 7.19 (dt, J = 1.8, 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.8 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 19.8 $(-), 27.7 (+), 27.9 (-) (d, J = 4 Hz), 33.7 (-), 40.3 (-), 55.0 (+),$ 64.1 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 111.6 (+), 120.1 (+), 120.4 (+) (d, J = 5 Hz), 127.4 (+), 129.7 (+), 135.6 (−) (d, J = 1 Hz), 147.3 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz), 157.8 (−); HRMS (FAB) m/z: [M + H]⁺ Calcd for $C_{18}H_{28}O_5P$ 355.1674; Found 355.1673.

Diethyl 3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-1-cyclopenten-1-yl Phosphate (5p) from Enone 1E and (2-MeOC₆H₄)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2b) Prepared by Method B. Yield 58%; $R_f = 0.26$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1657, 1600, 1491, 1032 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.38 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{ H}), 1.63-1.74 \text{ (m, 1 H)}, 2.42-2.60 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 3.83$ $(s, 3 H)$, 4.16−4.33 (m, 5 H), 5.32−5.37 (m, 1 H), 6.86 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.91 (dt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.15−7.23 (m, 2 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.2 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 30.2 $(-), 31.2 (-) (d, J = 5 Hz), 40.2 (+), 55.4 (+), 64.4 (-) (d, J = 6$ Hz), 110.2 (+), 112.2 (+) (d, $J = 5$ Hz), 120.5 (+), 127.0 (+), 127.2 (+), 134.2 (−), 151.0 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz), 156.8 (−); HRMS (FAB) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{16}H_{24}O_5P$ 327.1361; Found 327.1358.

Diethyl 4-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-4-methylpent-2-en-2-yl Phosphate (5q) from Enone 1F and (2-MeOC₆H₄)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2b) Prepared by *Method B*. Yield 48%; $R_f = 0.13$ (hexane/EtOAc 5:1); IR (neat) 1686, 1490, 1285, 1243 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.22 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{ H}), 1.49 \text{ (s, 6 H)}, 2.01 \text{ (t, } J = 1.1 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}), 3.75-$ 3.87 (m, 4 H), 3.80 (s, 3 H), 4.96–4.99 (m, 1 H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.88 (dt, J = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (dt, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.32 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 1 H); 13C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.0 (+) (d, J = 7 Hz), 20.7 (+), 28.7 (+), 37.2 (−), 55.5 (+), 63.6 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 111.9 (+), 120.2 (+), 122.4 (+) (d, J = 10 Hz), 126.2 (+), 126.9 (+), 137.7 (-), 141.2 (-) (d, J = 7 Hz), 157.9 (−); HRMS (FAB) m/z : [M + H]⁺ Calcd for $C_{17}H_{28}O_5P$ 343.1674; Found 343.1680.

Diethyl (3-(2,6-Methoxymethoxy)-4-pentylphenyl)-(4-(2- ((triethylsilyl)oxy)propan-2-yl)-1-cyclopenten-1-yl Phosphate (5r) from Enone 1G and (2,6-(MOMO)₂-4-C₅H₁₁C₆H₂)₂Cu(CN)Li₂ (2m) Prepared by Method C. Yield 60%; $R_f = 0.35$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1);

¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.51 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 6 H), 0.85– 0.98 (m, 15 H), 1.12 (s, 3 H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.17−1.40 $(m, 3 H)$, 1.50−1.68 $(m, 4 H)$, 2.20−2.58 $(m, 4 H)$, 2.50 $(t, J = 8.0)$, 2 H), 3.48 (s, 6 H), 4.02–4.15 (m, 5 H), 5.04–5.22 (m, 5 H), 6.57 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR−APT (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.8 (-), 7.2 (+), 14.1 (+), 16.1 (+), (d, J = 7 Hz), 22.5 (-), 25.2 (-), 26.2 (+), 28.2 (−) (d, J = 3 Hz), 30.1 (+), 31.1 (−), 31.7 (−), 32.8 (+), 36.2 (−), 46.9 (+), 56.1 (+), 63.90 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 63.93 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 76.1 (−), 94.9 (−), 108.5 (+), 108.8 (+), 115.2 (+), (d, $J = 6$ Hz), 121.0 (−), 142.7 (−), 147.2 (−) (d, J = 9 Hz). The ¹H NMR spectrum was consistent with that reported,^{15b} whereas the 13 C NMR spectrum was updated.

Syn[the](#page-7-0)sis of the Δ^9 -THC Methyl Ether (9). $((2-(2')6')^2)$ Dimethoxy-5-methyl-4′-pentyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-[1,1′-biphenyl]-2 yl)propan-2-yl)oxy)triethylsilane (7). To an ice-cold solution of bis methyl ether of olivetol (201.1 mg, 0.965 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added n-BuLi (0.58 mL, 1.55 M in hexane, 0.899 mmol) dropwise. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, and CuCN (40.9 mg, 0.457 mmol) was added to the solution. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min and cooled to −70 °C. A solution of enone 1G (77.9 mg, 0.290 mmol) and BF_3E_2O (0.075 mL, 0.597 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added to the mixture over 5 min. The reaction mixture was warmed up to −50 °C over 1 h, and then MeLi $(1.60 \text{ mL}, 1.13 \text{ M} \text{ in } Et_2O, 1.81 \text{ mmol})$ was added to the solution. After 30 min of stirring at 0 °C, ClP(O)(OEt)₂ (0.220 mL, 1.53 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and poured into saturated $NH₄Cl$. The resulting mixture was extracted with $Et₂O$ twice. The organic layers were washed with $NAHCO₃$ and brine, dried over $MgSO₄$, and concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc) to furnish enol phosphate 5s (119.0 mg, 67%): $R_f = 0.27$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.50 (q, J = 7.9 Hz, 6 H), 0.86–0.95 (m, 15 H), 1.06 (s, 3 H), 1.24−1.37 (m, 3 H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6 H), 1.50−1.66 (m, 4 H), 2.16−2.27 (m, 2 H), 2.30−2.44 (m, 2 H), 2.53 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.76 (s, 6 H), 4.01−4.16 (m, 5 H), 5.03−5.07 (m, 1 H), 6.30 (s, 2 H); ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.80 (−), 7.21 (+), 14.1 $(+)$, 16.1 $(+)$ $(d, J = 7 \text{ Hz})$, 22.6 $(-)$, 25.3 $(-)$, 26.4 $(+)$, 28.1 $(-)$ $(d, J = 4 \text{ Hz})$, 30.0 (+), 31.2 (-), 31.7 (-), 32.2 (+), 36.5 (-), 46.6 (+), 55.5 (+), 63.9 (−) (d, J = 6 Hz), 76.2 (−), 104.8 (+) (br s), 115.1 (+) (d, $J = 6$ Hz), 119.5 (-), 142.2 (-), 147.1 (-) (d, $J = 9$ Hz). To an ice-cold solution of $Ni(\text{acar})_2$ (26.6 mg, 0.10 mmol) in THF (1.5 mL) was added MeMgCl (0.27 mL, 3.0 M in THF, 0.81 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min, and a solution of enol phosphate 5s (122.5 mg, 0.20 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature overnight and poured into saturated $NH₄Cl$. The resulting mixture was extracted with $Et₂O$ twice. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, and concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc) to furnish 7 (70.1 mg, 74%): R_f = 0.88 (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.50 $(q, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 6 \text{ H})$, 0.84–0.95 (m, 15 H), 1.06 (s, 3 H), 1.23–1.67 (m, 7 H), 1.62 (s, 3 H), 1.84−1.96 (m, 1 H), 2.03−2.20 (m, 2 H), 2.32−2.43 (m, 1 H), 2.54 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.74 (br s, 6 H), 3.90 (dm, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.92–4.98 (m, 1 H), 6.32 (s, 2 H); ¹³C NMR−APT (75 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.86 (−), 7.25 (+), 14.2 (+), 22.7 (−), 23.4 (+), 25.3 (−), 26.4 (+), 30.0 (+), 30.6 (−), 31.2 (−), 31.8 (−), 33.9 (+), 36.5 (−), 47.0 (+), 55.7 (+), 76.6 (−), 104.1, 105.7, 121.7 (−), 125.5 (+), 132.4 (−), 141.7 (−). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were consistent with those reported.^{12b}

1-Methoxy-6,6,9-trimethyl-3-pentyl-6a,7,8,10a-tetrahydro-6Hbenzo[c]chromene (9). To an ice-cold sol[utio](#page-7-0)n of NaH (24.3 mg, 60% in oil, 0.61 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) was added EtSH (0.069 mL, 0.798 mmol). The solution was stirred for 30 min at 0 °C, and dimethyl ether 7 (37.9 mg, 0.080 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at 140 °C overnight, cooled to room temperature, and diluted with saturated NH4Cl. The resulting mixture was extracted with $Et₂O$ twice. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $MgSO_4$, and concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc) to

furnish 8 (16.2 mg, 60%): $R_f = 0.52$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: δ 0.89 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.12 (s, 3 H), 1.14 (s, 3 H), 1.23−1.38 (m, 4 H), 1.45−1.64 (m, 3 H), 1.76 (s, 3 H), 1.90−2.27 (m, 5 H), 2.50 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H), 3.98− 4.10 (m, 1 H), 5.37−5.46 (m, 1 H), 6.03 (br s, 1 H), 6.29 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.35 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H); 13C NMR−APT (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.1 (+), 22.6 (-), 23.4 (+), 25.2 (-), 26.1 (+), 28.8 (+), 30.1 (−), 30.9 (−), 31.6 (−), 33.1 (+), 36.1 (−), 48.0 (+), 55.8 (+), 73.8 (−), 103.6 (+), 110.8 (+), 116.3 (−), 124.9 (+), 139.2 (-), 143.5 (-), 156.0 (-), 156.4 (-). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were consistent with those reported.^{15b} To a mixture of ZnBr₂ (84.6) mg, 0.376 mmol) and $MgSO_4$ (66.1 mg, 0.549 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL) was added 8 (15.3 mg, 0.044 [mm](#page-7-0)ol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL). After being stirred at room temperature overnight, the mixture was diluted with saturated NaHCO₃. The resulting mixture was extracted with $CH₂Cl₂$ twice. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, and concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc) to furnish 9 (11.3 mg, 78%): R_f = 0.82 (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.88 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.07 (s, 3 H), 1.23−1.74 (m, 8 H), 1.41 (s, 3 H), 1.67 (s, 3 H), 1.85−1.95 (m, 1 H), 2.10−2.20 (m, 2 H), 2.50 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 3.17 (dm, J = 11.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 6.20−6.25 $(m, 1 H)$, 6.26 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.30 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR−APT (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.1 (+), 19.2 (+), 22.7 (−), 23.5 (+), 25.2 (−), 27.7 (+), 30.9 (−), 31.4 (−), 31.7 (−), 34.0 (+), 36.1 (−), 46.0 (+), 55.3 (+), 77.3 (−), 103.0 (+), 110.3 (+), 110.4 (−), 124.9 (+), 133.6 (−), 142.7 (−), 154.4 (−), 158.4 (−). The ¹H and 13 C NMR spectra were consistent with those reported.^{15b}

Diethyl 3-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexen-1-yl Phosphate (11). To a mixture of K_3PO_4 (261.7 mg, 1.23 [mmo](#page-7-0)l), XPhos $(12.2 \text{ mg}, 0.026 \text{ mmol})$, $Pd_2(dba)_3$ ·CHCl₃ $(25.2 \text{ mg}, 0.024 \text{ mmol})$, and phenyl boronic acid (89.3 mg, 0.732 mmol) in toluene (2 mL) was added a solution of enol phosphate 5n (100.3 mg, 0.239 mmol) in toluene (1 mL). The mixture was stirred at 100 °C overnight and filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to leave a residue, which was purified by column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc) to afford enol phosphate 11 (87.9 mg, 88%): R_f = 0.12 (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); IR (neat) 1677, 1599, 1489, 1281 cm⁻¹;
¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCL): 8 1 14 (dt I − 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.19 ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.14 (dt, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.19 $(dt, J = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.63–1.84 (m, 3 H), 1.90–2.04 (m, 1 H),$ 2.53−2.62 (m, 2 H), 3.67−3.93 (m, 4 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 4.32−4.39 (m, 1 H), 6.77 (dd, J = 8.1, 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (dt, J = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.03–7.20 (m, 4 H), 7.22–7.34 (m, 3 H), ¹³C−APT NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 16.0 (+) (dd, J = 7, 2 Hz), 18.5 (-), 28.4 (-), 29.0 (−), 38.6 (+), 55.3 (+), 63.7 (−) (d, J = 7 Hz), 63.8 (d, J = 7 Hz), 110.2 (+), 119.9 (+), 124.5 (−), 124.6 (−), 126.4 (+), 127.1 (+), 127.6 (+), 129.1 (+), 130.0 (+), 131.5 (-) (d, $J = 2$ Hz), 138.7 (−), 145.5 (−) (d, J = 8 Hz), 156.8 (−); HRMS (FAB) m/z: [M + H ⁺ Calcd for C₂₃H₃₀O₅P 417.1831; Found 417.1832.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01630.

The 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra of compounds (PDF)

[■](http://pubs.acs.org) AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: ykobayas@bio.titech.ac.jp.

Notes

The aut[hors declare no competing](mailto:ykobayas@bio.titech.ac.jp) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (23550119).

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Yoshikai, N.; Nakamura, E. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 2339− 2372. (b) Nakamura, E. Synlett 1991, 1991, 539−547. (c) Yamamoto, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 947−1038. (d) Noyori, R.; Suzuki, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 847−876.

(2) (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Maruyama, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3240−3241. (b) Lipshutz, B. H.; Wilhelm, R. S.; Kozlowski, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3938−3942. (c) Lipshutz, B. H.; Kozlowski, J. A.; Parker, D. A.; Nguyen, S. L.; McCarthy, K. E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 285, 437−447. (d) Behling, J. R.; Babiak, K. A.; Ng, J. S.; Campbell, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2641−2643. (e) Bertz, S. H.; Eriksson, M.; Miao, G.; Snyder, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10906−10907. (f) Berger, S.; Langer, F.; Lutz, C.; Knochel, P.; Mobley, T. A.; Reddy, C. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1496−1498.

(3) (a) Müller, D.; Alexakis, A. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 12037− 12049. (b) Hawner, C.; Alexakis, A. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7295−7306. (c) Jerphagnon, T.; Pizzuti, M. G.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1039−1075. (d) Christoffers, J.; Koripelly, G.; Rosiak, A.; Rössle, M. Synthesis 2007, 2007, 1279– 1300.

(4) (a) Feringa, B. L.; Pineschi, M.; Arnold, L. A.; Imbos, R.; de Vries, A. H. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2620−2623. (b) Degrado, S. J.; Mizutani, H.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 755−756. (c) Alexakis, A.; Trevitt, G. P.; Bernardinelli, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4358−4359. (d) Arnold, L. A.; Naasz, R.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5841−5842. (e) Knopff, O.; Alexakis, A. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3835− 3837. (f) Alexakis, A.; Albrow, V.; Biswas, K.; d'Augustin, M.; Prieto, O.; Woodward, S. Chem. Commun. 2005, 2843−2845. (g) Lee, K.-S.; Brown, M. K.; Hird, A. W.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7182−7184. (h) Vuagnoux-d'Augustin, M.; Alexakis, A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2007, 13, 9647−9662. (i) May, T. L.; Brown, M. K.; Hoveyda, A. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7358−7362. (j) Robert, T.; Velder, J.; Schmalz, H. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7718− 7721. (k) Hawner, C.; Li, K.; Cirriez, V.; Alexakis, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8211−8214. (l) Welker, M.; Woodward, S.; Alexakis, A. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 576−579. (m) Ngoc, D. T.; Albicker, M.; Schneider, L.; Cramer, N. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 1781− 1784. (n) Welker, M.; Woodward, S. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 9954− 9963. (o) May, T. L.; Dabrowski, J. A.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 736−739. (p) Müller, D.; Tissot, M.; Alexakis, A. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3040–3043. (q) Gärtner, M.; Qu, J.; Helmchen, G. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1186−1190. (r) Müller, D.; Alexakis, A. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1842−1845. (s) Silva, A. L.; Toscano, R. A.; Maldonado, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5282−5292. (t) Peng, F.; Grote, R. E.; Wilson, R. M.; Danishefsky, S. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2013, 110, 10904−10909. (u) Müller, D.; Alexakis, A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 15226-15239. (v) Germain, N.; Guénée, L.; Mauduit, M.; Alexakis, A. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 118−121. (w) Germain, N.; Schlaefli, D.; Chellat, M.; Rosset, S.; Alexakis, A. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2006−2009.

(5) The original report of the Rh-catalyzed 1,4-addition: Sakai, M.; Hayashi, H.; Miyaura, N. Organometallics 1997, 16, 4229−4231.

(6) (a) Takaya, Y.; Ogasawara, M.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5579−5580. (b) Yoshida, K.; Ogasawara, M.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10984−10985. (c) Hayashi, T.; Tokunaga, N.; Yoshida, K.; Han, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12102−12103. (d) Yoshida, K.; Ogasawara, M.; Hayashi, T. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1901−1905. (e) Hayashi, T.; Ueyama, K.; Tokunaga, N.; Yoshida, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11508− 11509. (f) Oi, S.; Sato, T.; Inoue, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 5051−5055. (g) Kakuuchi, A.; Taguchi, T.; Hanzawa, Y. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 1293−1299. (h) Tokunaga, N.; Yoshida, K.; Hayashi, T. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 5445−5449. (i) Shintani, R.; Tokunaga, N.; Doi, H.; Hayashi, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6240−6241. (j) Nicolaou, K. C.; Tang, W.; Dagneau, P.; Faraoni, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3874−3879. (k) Shintani, R.; Yamagami, T.; Kimura, T.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5317−

5319. (l) Smith, A. J.; Abbott, L. K.; Martin, S. F. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4200−4203. (m) Tato, R.; Riveiros, R.; Sestelo, J. P.; Sarandeses, L. A. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 1606−1611. (n) Westmeier, J.; Pfaff, C.; Siewert, J.; Von Zezschwitz, P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 2651− 2658.

(7) (a) Nishikata, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Miyaura, N. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1822−1823. (b) Nishikata, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Miyaura, N. Organometallics 2004, 23, 4317−4324. (c) Gini, F.; Hessen, B.; Minnaard, A. J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5309−5312. (d) Jordan-Hore, J. A.; Sanderson, J. N.; Lee, A.-L. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2508−2511.

(8) (a) Schwartz, J.; Loots, M. J.; Kosugi, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1333−1340. (b) Greene, A. E.; Lansard, J.-P.; Luche, J.- L.; Petrier, C. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 931−932. (c) Petrier, C.; de Souza Barbosa, J. C.; Dupuy, C.; Luche, J.-L. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5761−5765. (d) Subburaj, K.; Montgomery, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11210−11211.

(9) (a) Lipshutz, B. H.; Ellsworth, E. L.; Siahaan, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1351−1358. (b) Lipshutz, B. H.; Ellsworth, E. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 893−896. (c) Lipshutz, B. H.; Parker, D. A.; Kozlowski, J. A.; Nguyen, S. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5959− 5962.

(10) (a) Schnermann, M. J.; Untiedt, N. L.; Jiménez-Osés, G.; Houk, K. N.; Overman, L. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9581− 9586. (b) Horiguchi, Y.; Matsuzawa, S.; Nakamura, E.; Kuwajima, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4025−4028. (c) Nakamura, E.; Matsuzawa, S.; Horiguchi, Y.; Kuwajima, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4029−4032. (d) Itagaki, N.; Sugahara, T.; Iwabuchi, Y. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4181−4183. (e) Bergdahl, M.; Lindstedt, E.-L.; Nilsson, M.; Olsson, T. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 2055−2062. (f) Yoo, S.; Yi, K. Y. Synlett 1990, 1990, 697−699.

(11) In this paper "boron enolate" is used to the species that is produced by 1,4-addition of a copper reagent to a $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$ -activated enone.

(12) Reactions of trialkylboron enolates: (a) Negishi, E.; Matsushita, H.; Chatterjee, S.; John, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 3188−3190. (b) Luo, F.-T.; Negishi, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 2177−2180.

(13) Ng, J. S.; Behling, J. R.; Campbell, A. L.; Nguyen, D.; Lipshutz, B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3045−3048.

(14) (a) Le Nôtre, J.; Allen, J. C.; Frost, C. G. Chem. Commun. 2008, 3795−3797. (b) Yu, X.-Q.; Yamamoto, Y.; Miyaura, N. Synlett 2009, 2009, 994−998. (c) Yu, X.-Q.; Shirai, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Miyaura, N. Chem. - Asian J. 2011, 6, 932−937.

(15) (a) William, A. D.; Kobayashi, Y. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2017− 2020. (b) William, A. D.; Kobayashi, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 8771−8782. (c) Kobayashi, Y.; Takeuchi, A.; Wang, Y.-G. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2699−2702.

(16) Previous syntheses of enol phosphates from reactive enolates prepared by 1,4-addition to nonactivated enones: (a) Monti, H.; Audran, G.; Monti, J.-P.; Leandri, G. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 6021− 6023. (b) Brocksom, T. J.; Coelho, F.; Deprés, J.-P.; Greene, A. E.; Freire de Lima, M. E.; Hamelin, O.; Hartmann, B.; Kanazawa, A. M.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 15313−15325. (c) Moraes, D. N.; Barrientos-Astigarraga, R. E.; Castelani, P.; Comasseto, J. V. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 3327−3337. (d) Laval, G.; Audran, G.; Sanchez, S.; Monti, H. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 1927− 1933.

(17) Activation of dialkylboron enolates with n-BuLi: See ref 6d. Cf. ref 12.

(18) (a) Bulbule, V. J.; Deshpande, V. H.; Bedekar, A. V. J. Chem. Res. 2000, 2000, 220−221. (b) Jones, P.; Reddy, C. K.; Kno[che](#page-6-0)l, P. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 1471−1490.

(19) Ether 9 is the end product to be synthesized in Japan, as a synthesis of 10 is prohibited by law.

(20) (a) Lesch, B.; Toräng, J.; Nieger, M.; Bräse, S. Synthesis 2005, 2005, 1888−1900. (b) Trost, B. M.; Dogra, K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 861−863. (c) Pearson, E. L.; Kanizaj, N.; Willis, A. C.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; Sherburn, M. S. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 8280−8284. (d) Minuti, L.; Ballerini, E. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5392−5403.

(e) Cheng, L.-J.; Xie, J.-H.; Chen, Y.; Wang, L.-X.; Zhou, Q.-L. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 764−767.

(21) Taylor, E. C.; Lenard, K.; Shvo, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 367−368.

(22) (a) Massi, P.; Solinas, M.; Cinquina, V.; Parolaro, D. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 2013, 75, 303−312. (b) Burdick, D.; DeOrazio, R.; Guzzo, P.; Habershaw, A.; Helle, M.; Paul, B.; Wolf, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 1424−1426. (c) Hong, B.-C.; Kotame, P.; Tsai, C.-W.; Liao, J.-H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 776−779. (d) Pertwee, R. G. Tocris Biosci. Sci. Rev. 2010, 1−16. (e) Chu, C.; Ramamurthy, A.; Makriyannis, A.; Tius, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 55−61. (f) Mechoulam, R.; Hanus, L. ̌ Chem. Phys. Lipids 2002, 121, 35−43. (g) Howlett, A. C.; Barth, F.; Bonner, T. I.; Cabral, G.; Casellas, P.; Devane, W. A.; Felder, C. C.; Herkenham, M.; Mackie, K.; Martin, B. R.; Mechoulam, R.; Pertwee, R. G. Pharmacol. Rev. 2002, 54, 161− 202.

(23) (a) Moparthi, L.; Survery, S.; Kreir, M.; Simonsen, C.; Kjellbom, P.; Högestätt, E. D.; Johanson, U.; Zygmunt, P. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2014, 111, 16901−16906. (b) Andersson, D. A.; Gentry, C.; Alenmyr, L.; Killander, D.; Lewis, S. E.; Andersson, A.; Bucher, B.; Galzi, J.-L.; Sterner, O.; Bevan, S.; Hö gestatt, E. D.; ̈ Zygmunt, P. M. Nat. Commun. 2011, 2, 551. (c) Ryberg, E.; Larsson, N.; Sjö gren, S.; Hjorth, S.; Hermansson, N.-O.; Leonova, J.; Elebring, T.; Nilsson, K.; Drmota, T.; Greasley, P. J. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2007, 152, 1092−1101. (d) Ho, W.-S. V.; Hiley, C. R. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2003, 138, 1320−1332. (e) Offertáler, L.; Mo, F.-M.; Bátkai, S.; Liu, J.; Begg, M.; Razdan, R. K.; Martin, B. R.; Bukoski, R. D.; Kunos, G. Mol. Pharmacol. 2003, 63, 699–705. (f) Bisogno, T.; Hanuš, H.; De Petrocellis, L.; Tchilibon, S.; Ponde, D. E.; Brandi, I.; Moriello, A. S.; Davis, J. B.; Mechoulam, R.; Di Marzo, V. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2001, 134, 845−852.

(24) Billingsley, K.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3358−3366.